

Pseudocode for Krotov's Method

Michael Goerz

(Dated: December 11, 2019)

For reference, Algorithm 1 shows the complete pseudocode of an optimization with Krotov's method, as implemented in the `krotov` package (<https://github.com/qucontrol/krotov>).

Variables are color coded. Scalars are set in blue, e.g. $\epsilon_{ln}^{(0)}$. States (Hilbert space states or vectorized density matrices) are set in purple, e.g. ϕ_k^{init} . They may be annotated with light gray superscripts to indicate the iteration-index i of the control under which state was propagated, and with light gray time arguments. These annotations serve only to connect the variables to the equations of motion: $\phi_k^{(0)}(t_n)$ and $\phi_k^{(0)}(t_{n-1})$ are the same variable ϕ_k . Operators acting on states are set in green, e.g. μ_{lkn} . These may be implemented as a sparse matrix or implicitly as a function that returns the result of applying the operator to a state. Lastly, storage arrays are set in red, e.g. Φ_0 . Each element of a storage array is a state.

The Python implementation groups several of the algorithm's input parameters by introducing a list of N "objectives". The objectives are indexed by k , and each objective contains the initial state ϕ_k^{init} , the Hamiltonian or Liouvillian H_k to be used by the propagator U and for the operators μ_{lkn} , and possibly a "target" to be taken into account by the function χ . In many applications, $H_k \equiv H$ is the same in all objectives, and $\mu_{lkn} \equiv \mu_l$ if H is linear in the controls in addition. The subscript n and the superscript $(i-1)$ for $\mu_{lkn}^{(i-1)}$ in lines 31, 34 comes into play only if H is *not* linear in the control. Mathematically, μ_{lkn} would then have to be evaluated using the *updated* control. Since the update is not yet known, the *guess* control may be used as an approximation (valid for sufficiently large $\lambda_{a,t}$).

The CPU resources required for the optimization are dominated by the time propagation (calls to the function

U in lines 7, 24-37). This is under the assumption that evaluating U dominates the application of the operator $\mu_{lkn}^{(i-1)}$ to the state $\phi_k^{(i)}(t_{n-1})$ and the evaluation of the inner product of two states, lines 31, 34. This condition is fulfilled for any non-trivial Hilbert space dimension.

Loops over the index k are parallelizable, in particular in a shared-memory (multi-threaded) parallelization environment like OpenMP. In a (multi-process) method-passing environment like MPI, some care must be taken to minimize communication overhead from passing large state vectors. For some (but not all) functionals, inter-process communication can be reduced to only the scalar values constituting the sum over k in lines 31, 34.

The memory requirements of the algorithm are dominated by the storage arrays Φ_0 , Φ_1 , and X . Each of these must store $N(N_T + 1)$ full state vectors (a full time propagation for each of the N objectives). Each state vector is typically an array of double-precision complex numbers. For a Hilbert space dimension d , a state vector thus requires $16d$ bytes of memory, or $16d^2$ bytes for a density matrix. Under certain conditions, the use of Φ_0 and Φ_1 can be avoided: both are required only when the second order update is used ($\sigma(t) \neq 0$). When the first order update is sufficient, Φ_1 may overwrite Φ_0 so that the two collapse into a single forward-storage Φ . The states stored in Φ are only used for the inhomogeneity $\partial g_b / \partial \langle \phi_k |$ in Eq. (3), and no storage Φ of forward-propagated states at all is required if $g_b \equiv 0$. Thus, in most examples, only the storage X of the backward-propagated states remains. In principle, if the time propagation U is unitary (i.e., invertible), the states stored in X could be recovered by forward-propagation of $\{\chi_k^{(i-1)}(t=0)\}$, eliminating X at the (considerable) runtime cost of an additional time propagation.

Optimization Functional and Equations of Motion

$$J[\{\phi_k^{(i)}(t)\}, \{\epsilon_l^{(i)}(t)\}] = J_T(\{\phi_k^{(i)}(T)\}) + \sum_l \int_0^T g_a(\epsilon_l^{(i)}(t)) dt + \int_0^T g_b(\{\phi_k^{(i)}(t)\}) dt \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\phi_k^{(i)}(t)\rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}^{(i)}(t) |\phi_k^{(i)}(t)\rangle \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\chi_k^{(i-1)}(t)\rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}^{\dagger(i-1)}(t) |\chi_k^{(i-1)}(t)\rangle + \frac{\partial g_b}{\partial \langle \phi_k |} \Big|_{(i-1)} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{with} \quad |\chi_k^{(i-1)}(T)\rangle = -\frac{\partial J_T}{\partial \langle \phi_k(T) |} \Big|_{(i-1)} \quad (4)$$

Algorithm 1 KROTOV'S METHOD FOR QUANTUM OPTIMAL CONTROL

Input:

1. list of guess control values $\{\epsilon_{ln}^{(0)}\}$ where $\epsilon_{ln}^{(0)}$ is the value of the l 'th control field on the n 'th interval of the propagation time grid ($t_0 = 0, \dots, t_{N_T} = T$), i.e., $\epsilon_{ln}^{(0)} \equiv \epsilon_l^{(0)}(\tilde{t}_{n-1})$ with $n \in [1, N_T]$ and $\tilde{t}_n \equiv (t_n + t_{n+1})/2$
2. list of update-shape values $\{S_{ln}\}$ with each $S_{ln} \in [0, 1]$
3. list of update step size values $\{\lambda_{a,l}\}$
4. list of N initial states $\{\phi_k^{\text{init}}\}$ at $t = t_0 = 0$
5. propagator function U that in "forward mode" receives a state $\phi_k(t_n)$ and a list of control values $\{\epsilon_{ln}\}$ and returns $\phi_k(t_{n+1})$ by solving the differential equation (2), respectively in "backward mode" (indicated as U^\dagger) receives a state $\chi_k(t_n)$ and returns $\chi_k(t_{n-1})$ by solving the differential equation (3)
6. list of operators $\mu_{lkn} = \frac{\partial H_k}{\partial \epsilon_l(t)}|_{\epsilon_{ln}}$, where H_k is the right-hand-side of the equation of motion of $\phi_k(t)$, up to a factor of $(-i/\hbar)$, cf. Eq. (2)
7. function χ that receives a list of states $\{\phi_k(T)\}$ and returns a list of states $\{\chi_k(T)\}$ according to Eq. (4)
8. optionally, if a second order construction of the pulse update is necessary: function $\sigma(t)$

Output: optimized control values $\{\epsilon_{ln}^{(\text{opt})}\}$, such that $J[\{\epsilon_{ln}^{(\text{opt})}\}] \leq J[\{\epsilon_{ln}^{(0)}\}]$, with J defined in Eq. (1).

```

1: procedure KROTOVOPTIMIZATION( $\{\epsilon_{ln}^{(0)}\}$ ,  $\{S_{ln}\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_{a,l}\}$ ,  $\{\phi_k^{\text{init}}\}$ ,  $U$ ,  $\{\mu_{lkn}\}$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\sigma$ )
2:    $i \leftarrow 0$  ▷ iteration number
3:   allocate forward storage array  $\Phi_0[1 \dots N, 0 \dots N_T]$ 
4:   for  $k \leftarrow 1, \dots, N$  do ▷ initial forward-propagation
5:      $\Phi_0[k, 0] \leftarrow \phi_k^{(0)}(t_0) \leftarrow \phi_k^{\text{init}}$ 
6:     for  $n \leftarrow 1, \dots, N_T$  do
7:        $\Phi_0[k, n] \leftarrow \phi_k^{(0)}(t_n) \leftarrow U(\phi_k^{(0)}(t_{n-1}), \{\epsilon_{ln}^{(0)}\})$  ▷ propagate and store
8:     end for
9:   end for
10:  while not converged do ▷ optimization loop
11:     $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
12:     $\Phi_1, \{\epsilon_{ln}^{(i)}\} \leftarrow \text{KROTOVITERATION}(\Phi_0, \{\epsilon_{ln}^{(i-1)}\}, \dots)$ 
13:     $\Phi_0 \leftarrow \Phi_1$ 
14:  end while
15:   $\forall l, \forall n : \epsilon_{ln}^{(\text{opt})} \leftarrow \epsilon_{ln}^{(i)}$  ▷ final optimized controls
16: end procedure

17: procedure KROTOVITERATION( $\Phi_0, \{\epsilon_{ln}^{(i-1)}\}$ ,  $\{S_{ln}\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_{a,l}\}$ ,  $\{\phi_k^{\text{init}}\}$ ,  $U$ ,  $\{\mu_{lkn}\}$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\sigma$ )
18:   $\forall k : \phi_k^{(i-1)}(T) \leftarrow \Phi_0[k, N_T]$ 
19:   $\{\chi_k^{(i-1)}(T)\} \leftarrow \chi(\{\phi_k^{(i-1)}(T)\})$  ▷ backward boundary condition
20:  allocate backward storage array  $X[1 \dots N, 0 \dots N_T]$ .
21:  for  $k \leftarrow 1, \dots, N$  do
22:     $X[k, N_T] \leftarrow \chi_k^{(i-1)}(T)$ 
23:    for  $n \leftarrow N_T, \dots, 1$  do ▷ backward-propagate and store
24:       $X[k, n-1] \leftarrow \chi_k^{(i-1)}(t_{n-1}) \leftarrow U^\dagger(\chi_k^{(i-1)}(t_n), \{\epsilon_{ln}^{(i-1)}\}, \Phi_0)$ 
25:    end for
26:  end for
27:  allocate forward storage array  $\Phi_1[1 \dots N, 0 \dots N_T]$ 
28:   $\forall k : \Phi_1[k, 0] \leftarrow \phi_k^{(i)}(t_0) \leftarrow \phi_k^{\text{init}}$ 
29:  for  $n \leftarrow 1, \dots, N_T$  do ▷ sequential update loop
30:     $\forall k : \chi_k^{(i-1)}(t_{n-1}) \leftarrow X[k, n-1]$ 
31:     $\forall l : \Delta \epsilon_{ln} \leftarrow \frac{S_{ln}}{\lambda_{a,l}} \text{Im} \sum_k \langle \chi_k^{(i-1)}(t_{n-1}) | \mu_{lkn}^{(i-1)} | \phi_k^{(i)}(t_{n-1}) \rangle$  ▷ first order
32:    if  $\sigma(t) \neq 0$  then ▷ second order
33:       $\forall k : \Delta \phi_k^{(i)}(t_{n-1}) \leftarrow \phi_k^{(i)}(t_{n-1}) - \Phi_0[k, n-1]$ 
34:       $\forall l : \Delta \epsilon_{ln} \leftarrow \Delta \epsilon_{ln} + \frac{S_{ln}}{\lambda_{a,l}} \text{Im} \sum_k \frac{1}{2} \sigma(\tilde{t}_n) \langle \Delta \phi_k^{(i)}(t_{n-1}) | \mu_{lkn}^{(i-1)} | \phi_k^{(i)}(t_{n-1}) \rangle$ 
35:    end if
36:     $\forall l : \epsilon_{ln}^{(i)} \leftarrow \epsilon_{ln}^{(i-1)} + \Delta \epsilon_{ln}$  ▷ apply update
37:     $\forall k : \Phi_1[k, n] \leftarrow \phi_k^{(i)}(t_n) \leftarrow U(\phi_k^{(i)}(t_{n-1}), \{\epsilon_{ln}^{(i)}\})$  ▷ propagate and store
38:  end for
39:  if  $\sigma(t) \neq 0$  then
40:    Update internal parameters of  $\sigma(t)$  if necessary
41:  end if
42: end procedure

```

Notes:

- The index k numbers the independent states to be propagated, respectively the independent “objectives” (see text for details), l numbers the independent control fields, and n numbers the intervals on the time grid. All of these indices start at 1.
- The optimization loop may be stopped if the optimization functional or the change of functional falls below a pre-defined threshold, a maximum number of iterations is reached, or any other criterion.
- The bracket notation in line 31 indicates the (Hilbert-Schmidt) inner product of the state $\chi_k^{(i-1)}(t_n - 1)$ and the state resulting from applying $\mu_{lkn}^{(i-1)}$ to $\phi_k^{(i)}(t_{n-1})$. In Hilbert space, this is the standard bracket. In Liouville space, it is $\text{tr}(\chi_k^\dagger \mu_{lkn}[\phi_k])$ with density matrices χ_k , ϕ_k and a super-operator μ_{lkn} .
- For numerical stability, the states $\chi_k^{(i-1)}(T)$ in line 19 may be normalized. This norm then has to taken into account in the pulse update, line 31.
- In line 24, the storage array Φ_0 is passed to U^\dagger only to account for the inhomogeneity due to a possible state-dependent constraint, $\partial g_b / \partial \langle \phi_k |$ in Eq. (3). If $g_b \equiv 0$, the parameter can be omitted.