**NAtional Physical Laboratory**

**Review checklist for Software FUNCTIONAL SPECIFICATION**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Document identification |  | | |
| Document version number |  | Project no |  |
| **Project name** |  | **Task no** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | | **Yes** | **No** | | **N/A** | **Comment/reference** | | |
| **Are all the User Requirements met by the Functional Specifications?** | |  |  | |  |  | | |
| **Are they clearly defined and identified?** | |  |  | |  |  | | |
| **Are the functions defined in sufficient detail?** | |  |  | |  |  | | |
| **Are aspects of performance, usability and security covered?** | |  |  | |  |  | | |
| **Has the operational platform been specified (hardware, operating system, memory requirements etc)?** | |  |  | |  |  | | |
| **Have any special hardware requirements been specified?** | |  |  | |  |  | | |
| **Have all inputs and outputs been specified?** | |  |  | |  |  | | |
| **Is the document under configuration control?** | |  |  | |  |  | | |
| **Other comments:** | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| **Signed (Reviewer)** |  | Name | |  | | | Date |  |
| **Signed (Project Manager)** |  | **Name** | |  | | | **Date** |  |