# Africa TTS: Fast, CPU-Friendly Speech Synthesis for 92 African Languages from Phonemes

Mich-Seth Owusu
AfriSpeech
michseth@ghananlp.org

## Abstract

We present Africa TTS, a 110M-parameter text-to-speech model for 92 African languages and English that runs faster than real time on an ordinary CPU. All languages share one input space: text is converted to IPA by rule-based grapheme-to-phoneme tables, so a single model learns sounds rather than orthographies. Africa TTS is a 6-layer continuous-latent audio language model built on Pocket TTS, distilled from a 24-layer base model with classifier-free guidance folded into its weights. It generates speech 2.38× faster than real time on four CPU cores, starts streaming within 0.17 s, and fits in a 209 MB on-device bundle. On held-out sentences in 47 languages, transcribed by Omnilingual ASR, Africa TTS reaches a mean character error rate (CER) of 9.0% against 10.3% for MMS-TTS (better in 21 of 27 languages) and 5.9% against 10.3% for the 3.3B-parameter Sunbird Orpheus, and comes within 0.4 points of the 813M-parameter OmniVoice (8.8% vs. 8.4%) at about a seventh of its size. Distillation loses no intelligibility: Africa TTS matches its guided base model (9.1% vs. 9.1%) with a quarter of the layers and one pass per frame. The model, code, voices and the benchmark are released.

## 1 Introduction

Speech synthesis for African languages is held back less by modelling than by scale and deployment. Most of the continent's more than two thousand languages have little or no transcribed speech, and the people who would use a voice interface in those languages often rely on phones and low-cost laptops without a GPU. Massively multilingual systems have widened coverage: MMS-TTS [19] trains one small VITS model [11] per language for more than a thousand languages, and OmniVoice [22] clones voices zero-shot in more than six hundred. But per-language models do not share what languages have in common, and large zero-shot models need accelerators to run fast.

We present **Africa TTS**, a 110M-parameter text-to-speech model for 92 African languages and English, built on Kyutai's Pocket TTS [13, 20], a continuous-latent audio language model designed to run faster than real time on a CPU. Two choices shape the system:

1. **One phonetic input space.** Every language is converted to IPA by rule-based grapheme-to-phoneme tables [1] (espeak-ng [6] for English), so the model learns sounds rather than 92 orthographies, and languages with little data share acoustic knowledge with their neighbours.

2. **Speech for the CPU.** Africa TTS has 6 layers (110M parameters including the codec). It is distilled from a 24-layer base model, Africa TTS Base, with classifier-free guidance [9] folded into its weights. It generates speech 2.38× faster than real time on four CPU cores and starts streaming after 0.17 s, and ships as int8 ONNX bundles for servers and phones.

We evaluate intelligibility with Omnilingual ASR [17] on held-out sentences in 47 languages, against MMS-TTS, OmniVoice and Sunbird Orpheus, with human recordings of the same sentences as a reference. Our contributions are: (i) Africa TTS, a single phoneme-input TTS model covering 92 African languages, small and fast enough for CPU-only and on-device use, with ONNX exports; (ii) a depth-distillation recipe that keeps the 24-layer base model's guided intelligibility at a quarter of the layers and one pass per frame; and (iii) an open intelligibility benchmark over 47 languages comparing four systems, with all synthesised audio and transcripts.

The model, voices, code, the benchmark and its outputs are released.[1]

## 2 Related Work

**Multilingual TTS for African languages.** BibleTTS [15] released studio-quality single-speaker data and VITS baselines for ten sub-Saharan languages. MMS-TTS [19] scaled per-language VITS models to over 1,100 languages from New Testament recordings, using uroman [7] romanisation for non-Latin scripts. OmniVoice [22] is a single 0.6B-class discrete non-autoregressive model trained on 581k hours of open data in over 600 languages, with zero-shot voice cloning. WAXAL [5] recently added ASR and TTS corpora

[1] Code: https://github.com/AfriSpeech/africa-tts; model: https://huggingface.co/AfriSpeech/africa-tts (base model: https://huggingface.co/AfriSpeech/africa-tts-base); live demo: https://huggingface.co/spaces/AfriSpeech/africa-tts-demo.

for over twenty African languages, and Sunbird Orpheus [21] fine-tunes a 3B-parameter speech language model on these and other data for a dozen languages of East, West and Southern Africa.

**Efficient speech language models.** Pocket TTS [13] follows the continuous audio language model of Rouard et al. [20]: a causal Transformer predicts, frame by frame at 12.5 Hz, a continuous latent of the Mimi codec [4], sampled by a small consistency-trained MLP head, with voice cloning from a short audio prompt. We keep the architecture, replace the text input with IPA, and distil depth [8].

**Evaluation with ASR.** Automatic intelligibility is commonly measured as the word or character error rate of an ASR system on synthesised speech. Omnilingual ASR [17] covers over 1,600 languages, which for the first time allows a single ASR to score TTS in most of the languages studied here.

# 3 Africa TTS

## 3.1 Data

We train on 897 hours of real speech: JW recordings (383 h), a crowd-sourced female speech corpus in 17 languages (192 h), Ghanaian-accented English (156 h) and a 200-hour slice of HiFiTTS-2 [14] (166 h). Any clip whose transcript contains a numeral is dropped (the model reads spelled-out numbers only), as are clips outside 1–30 s. Word timings for voice-prompt cut points come from ctc-forced-aligner [2] for every language (99.95% of clips aligned). African languages range from 0.04 to 27 hours each (33 have under two hours); English has 323 hours.

## 3.2 Phonetic front end

Text is converted to IPA word by word: African languages by the C tables of africa-g2p [1], English by espeak-ng 1.51 with normalisation. The same C library runs on device and is byte-identical to the training conversion on every validation sentence. Common input errors are normalised, e.g. Greek and Cyrillic lookalikes of the African letters ɛ and ɔ. A SentencePiece unigram tokenizer [12] with 4,000 pieces is trained on the IPA, sampling languages in proportion to the square root of their hours (2.41 tokens per word).

## 3.3 Base model

The 24-layer base model, Africa TTS Base (336M parameters, of which 20M are the Mimi codec), is warm-started from Kyutai's English Pocket TTS with a fresh text embedding table for the IPA vocabulary, and fine-tuned on one H200 GPU with batch 64, learning rate $2 \times 10^{-4}$ and 20% text and voice dropout (enabling classifier-free guidance). Training early-stopped at 113k steps; we use step 100k, chosen by listening. It sounds best with guidance (cfg 2.0), which runs the backbone twice per frame: too slow for a CPU (Table 2).

## 3.4 Africa TTS: depth distillation

Africa TTS is a 6-layer student initialised from base-model layers 0, 1, 2, 21, 22 and 23, with the text table, flow head and end-of-speech head copied, and trained to match the base model's guided output, so guidance costs nothing at inference. It trains for 200k steps (cosine schedule, peak $4\times10^{-4}$); among checkpoints at 150k, 175k and 200k, 200k was preferred in listening tests. Per frame, Africa TTS computes 6 layers where the guided base model computes 48.

## 3.5 Deployment

Africa TTS exports to ONNX and runs in sherpa-onnx [10] with int8 weights in a 209 MB bundle that includes the front end and default voices. The exported graphs match PyTorch within $6.5 \times 10^{-5}$ before quantisation and the decoder at 116 dB SNR. A CPU-only API server (HTTP, WebSocket streaming) powers a public demo that scales to zero.

# 4 Evaluation

## 4.1 Speed

Table 2 reports speed on a dedicated 4-vCPU cloud machine over eight sentences in eight languages. Africa TTS runs 2.38× faster than real time and starts streaming within 0.17 s; the guided base model it was distilled from runs at 0.47×, slower than real time.

## 4.2 Intelligibility: setup

**Test sentences.** For each trained language we take up to 50 sentences from, in order of preference, the Omnilingual ASR corpus [17] (test split, else dev, else train; five languages with tiny splits are topped up from the next split), FLEURS [3] test, WAXAL [5] test, and FLORES+ [16, 18] devtest (text only). The first three come with human recordings. Sentences with digits, under 20 or over 220 characters are removed, and Greek or Cyrillic lookalike letters in FLORES+ are replaced by the intended African letters. None of these sentences is in our training data.

**Systems.** Africa TTS uses each language's default voice and temperature 0.3, as does the base model in the distillation comparison. MMS-TTS [19] is run for every language with a released model, with uroman for non-Latin scripts. OmniVoice [22] is run for every language in its list, cloning the same default voice clip as Africa TTS; as OmniVoice also conditions on the prompt's transcript, each prompt is transcribed with the evaluation ASR where no full human transcript exists. Sunbird Orpheus [21], a 3.3B-parameter Llama-based model that generates SNAC codec tokens, is run for the languages in its training mix with one fixed speaker per language and its recommended sampling settings (temperature 0.6, top-$p$ 0.95, repetition penalty 1.1). Parameter counts are

| | **Real** *speech* | **Africa TTS** (ours) *110M* | **MMS-TTS** *36M/lang.* | **OmniVoice** *813M* | **Orpheus** *3.3B* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *CER (%)* | | | | | |
| Languages MMS-TTS supports (27) | | **9.0** | 10.3 | | |
| Languages OmniVoice supports (29) | | 8.8 | | **8.4** | |
| Languages Sunbird Orpheus supports (11) | | **5.9** | | | 10.3 |
| All systems (6) | | 6.0 | 7.8 | **4.3** | 9.8 |
| Languages with real recordings (24) | 7.5 | 7.7 | | | |
| *CER without diacritics (%)* | | | | | |
| Languages MMS-TTS supports (27) | | **7.6** | 9.4 | | |
| Languages OmniVoice supports (29) | | 7.5 | | **7.0** | |
| Languages Sunbird Orpheus supports (11) | | **4.6** | | | 8.8 |
| All systems (6) | | 4.4 | 6.5 | **3.0** | 7.7 |
| Languages with real recordings (24) | 6.6 | 6.5 | | | |

Table 1: Intelligibility on languages Africa TTS was trained on: mean per-language character error rate of Omnilingual ASR transcripts (lower is better), averaged over the languages each comparison shares (count in brackets; languages with fewer than 10 test sentences are excluded). Best synthetic system in bold; real speech is the ASR's error on human recordings of the same sentences. Sizes are parameter counts including each system's audio codec or vocoder.

| **Model** | **Runtime** | **×RT ↑** | **TTFA ↓** |
|---|---|---|---|
| Africa TTS | sherpa-onnx int8 | **2.52** | – |
| Africa TTS | PyTorch | 2.38 | 0.17 s |
| Base, unguided | sherpa-onnx int8 | 0.82 | – |
| Base, unguided | PyTorch | 0.90 | 0.52 s |
| Base, guided | PyTorch | 0.47 | 0.72 s |

Table 2: CPU speed on a dedicated 4-vCPU cloud machine: seconds of audio generated per second of compute (×RT, above 1 is faster than real time) and median time to first audio when streaming (TTFA). PyTorch runs on one thread, sherpa-onnx on four.

measured on the released checkpoints and include each system's codec or vocoder.

**Metric.** Each output is transcribed by Omnilingual ASR (`omniASR_LLM_7B_v2`, conditioned on the language where it has a code), and we report the character error rate (CER) after Unicode NFC normalisation, lower-casing and removal of punctuation. A second CER also strips diacritics, as several G2P tables and ASR outputs treat tone marks inconsistently. The same ASR on the human recordings gives a reference: synthetic speech can score below it, since read synthetic speech is cleaner than spontaneous or noisy recordings. Means are taken over the languages each comparison shares.

### 4.3 Results

Table 1 summarises intelligibility. Against MMS-TTS, the strongest widely used per-language baseline, Africa TTS is more intelligible: it averages 9.0% CER against 10.3% and is better in 21 of 27 languages. Sunbird Orpheus, a 3.3B-parameter model, is the least intelligible system on the 11 languages it covers (10.3% against 5.9% for Africa TTS, which is better in 8 of 11 of them). OmniVoice is the most intelligible system overall: on its 29 shared languages it averages 8.4% against 8.8% for Africa TTS, and it is better in most individual languages, by small margins. Africa TTS closes most of that gap at about a seventh of OmniVoice's parameters while running faster than real time on a CPU (Table 2). On the 24 languages with human recordings, Africa TTS (7.7%) is within 0.2 points of the ASR's error on real speech (7.5%). Removing diacritics lowers all CERs but leaves the ranking unchanged.

| | **Real** *speech* | **Ours** *110M* | **Base, cfg 2** *336M* | **Base, cfg 1** *336M* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| All (45) | | **9.1** | **9.1** | 10.8 |
| With recordings (24) | 7.5 | **7.7** | **7.7** | 8.9 |

Table 3: Distillation: CER (%) of Africa TTS and of the 24-layer base model it was distilled from, with guidance (cfg 2, two passes per frame) or without (cfg 1). Languages with fewer than 10 sentences excluded.

**Distillation.** Table 3 compares Africa TTS with the base model it was distilled from. Over all 45 languages, Africa TTS (9.1%) matches the guided base model (9.1%) and is clearly better than the base model without guidance (10.8%): folding guidance into a 6-layer student keeps its benefit while removing three quarters of the layers and the second pass, a 5× speed-up on a CPU.

## 5 Limitations

The ASR-based metric measures intelligibility only, not naturalness or speaker similarity, and inherits the ASR's own errors; for languages whose test text comes from the Omnilingual ASR corpus's training split, the ASR may have seen those sentences, which favours all systems equally. FLEURS sentences are FLORES sentences read aloud. Three languages (Chitumbuka, Swati, Kimbundu) have no ASR language code and are transcribed unconditioned. Most of

our African training speech is read religious text, so conversational and technical registers are less natural, and languages with under about two hours of data are weak. Some G2P tables do not mark tone. Voice cloning can be misused to impersonate people; the models are released for non-commercial use under CC-BY-NC-4.0.

# 6 Conclusion

Africa TTS shows that one 110M-parameter phoneme-input model can serve 92 African languages faster than real time on a CPU: distilled from a 24-layer base model, it keeps that model's guided intelligibility. It is clearly more intelligible than per-language MMS-TTS models and a much larger Sunbird Orpheus, and close to OmniVoice at a fraction of its size. Future work includes human listening tests with native speakers, more conversational training speech, and tone marking in more G2P tables.

# References


[1] AfriSpeech. africa-g2p: grapheme-to-phoneme rules for african languages. https://github.com/AfriSpeech/africa-g2p, 2026.

[2] Mahmoud Ashraf. ctc-forced-aligner. https://github.com/MahmoudAshraf97/ctc-forced-aligner, 2024.

[3] Alexis Conneau, Min Ma, Simran Khanuja, Yu Zhang, Vera Axelrod, Siddharth Dalmia, Jason Riesa, Clara Rivera, and Ankur Bapna. FLEURS: Few-shot learning evaluation of universal representations of speech. In *IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*, 2023.

[4] Alexandre Défossez, Laurent Mazaré, Manu Orsini, Amélie Royer, Patrick Pérez, Hervé Jégou, Edouard Grave, and Neil Zeghidour. Moshi: a speech-text foundation model for real-time dialogue. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.00037*, 2024.

[5] Abdoulaye Diack, Perry Nelson, MohamedElfatih MohamedKhair, Subhashini Venugopalan, Emmanuel Asiedu Brempong, Tavonga Siyavora, Bob MacDonald, Uche Okonkwo, Sandy Ritchie, Mandy Jordan, Abhishek Bapna, Daan van Esch, Vusumuzi Dube, Jason Hickey, Ronit Levavi Morad, Yossi Matias, Jeff Dean, Aisha Walcott-Bryant, and Avinatan Hassidim. WAXAL: A large-scale multilingual african language speech corpus. Google Research. https://research.google/pubs/waxal-a-large-scale-multilingual-african-language-speech-corpus/, 2026.

[6] eSpeak NG contributors. eSpeak NG. https://github.com/espeak-ng/espeak-ng, version 1.51, 2022.

[7] Ulf Hermjakob, Jonathan May, and Kevin Knight. Out-of-the-box universal Romanization tool uroman. In *Proceedings of ACL 2018, System Demonstrations*, 2018.

[8] Geoffrey Hinton, Oriol Vinyals, and Jeff Dean. Distilling the knowledge in a neural network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02531*, 2015.

[9] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598*, 2022.

[10] k2-fsa. sherpa-onnx. https://github.com/k2-fsa/sherpa-onnx, 2026.

[11] Jaehyeon Kim, Jungil Kong, and Juhee Son. Conditional variational autoencoder with adversarial learning for end-to-end text-to-speech. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2021.

[12] Taku Kudo and John Richardson. SentencePiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing. In *Proceedings of EMNLP: System Demonstrations*, 2018.

[13] Kyutai. Pocket TTS. https://github.com/kyutai-labs/pocket-tts, 2026.

[14] Ryan Langman, Xuesong Yang, Paarth Neekhara, Shehzeen Hussain, Edresson Casanova, Evelina Bakhturina, and Jason Li. HiFiTTS-2: A large-scale high bandwidth speech dataset. In *Interspeech*, 2025.

[15] Josh Meyer, David Ifeoluwa Adelani, Edresson Casanova, et al. BibleTTS: a large, high-fidelity, multilingual, and uniquely african speech corpus. In *Interspeech*, 2022.

[16] NLLB Team, Marta R. Costa-jussà, James Cross, et al. No language left behind: Scaling human-centered machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04672*, 2022.

[17] Omnilingual ASR team, Gil Keren, Artyom Kozhevnikov, Yen Meng, Christophe Ropers, Matthew Setzler, et al. Omnilingual ASR: Open-source multilingual speech recognition for 1600+ languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.09690*, 2025.

[18] Open Language Data Initiative. FLORES+. https://huggingface.co/datasets/openlanguagedata/flores_plus, 2024.

[19] Vineel Pratap, Andros Tjandra, Bowen Shi, Paden Tomasello, Arun Babu, et al. Scaling speech technology to 1,000+ languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13516*, 2023.

[20] Simon Rouard, Manu Orsini, Axel Roebel, Neil Zeghidour, and Alexandre Défossez. Continuous audio language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.06926*, 2025.

[21] Sunbird AI. orpheus-3b-tts-multilingual. https://huggingface.co/Sunbird/orpheus-3b-tts-multilingual, 2025.

[22] Han Zhu, Lingxuan Ye, Wei Kang, Zengwei Yao, Liyong Guo, Fangjun Kuang, Zhifeng Han, Weiji Zhuang, Long Lin, and Daniel Povey. OmniVoice: Towards omnilingual zero-shot text-to-speech with diffusion language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2604.00688*, 2026.

# A Per-language results

| **Language** | **Code** | **Src** | $n$ | **Real** *speech* | **Africa TTS** (ours) *110M* | **MMS-TTS** *36M/lang.* | **OmniVoice** *813M* | **Orpheus** *3.3B* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Amharic | amh | FL | 50 | 8.0 | 5.7 | 10.9 | **4.8** | – |
| Changana (Mozambique) | tso | FR | 50 | – | 11.5 | **5.0** | – | – |
| Chitumbuka† | tum | FR | 50 | – | 11.5 | – | – | – |
| Chokwe | cjk | FR | 50 | – | 14.9 | – | **12.9** | – |
| Cibemba | bem | FR | 50 | – | **5.3** | 7.3 | – | – |
| Cinyanja | nya | FL | 50 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 7.1 | **2.6** | – |
| Dagaare | dga | WX | 50 | 22.1 | **10.4** | 10.6 | – | – |
| English | eng | FL | 50 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | **2.0** | 2.3 |
| Ewe | ewe | FR | 50 | – | 6.4 | **6.2** | – | 6.3 |
| Fante | fat | OC | 50 | 13.9 | **7.3** | – | 8.6 | – |
| Fon | fon | FR | 50 | – | 22.5 | **20.9** | – | – |
| Frafra | gur | OC | 50 | 5.5 | 9.8 | – | **9.1** | – |
| Hausa | hau | FL | 50 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 6.2 | **3.6** | 8.6 |
| Herero | her | OC | 14 | 3.8 | 4.8 | – | **1.5** | – |
| Igbo | ibo | FL | 50 | 8.2 | 6.9 | – | **6.6** | 17.5 |
| Isoko | iso | OC | 5 | 13.8 | 29.4 | – | **14.9** | – |
| Jula | dyu | FR | 50 | – | **23.8** | 29.7 | 47.9 | – |
| Kabiye | kbp | FR | 50 | – | **11.1** | 11.7 | – | – |
| Kabuverdianu | kea | FL | 50 | 2.6 | 11.9 | – | **8.6** | – |
| Kabyle | kab | FR | 50 | – | 14.0 | 14.5 | **6.8** | – |
| Kamba | kam | FL | 50 | 10.3 | 14.6 | – | **13.5** | – |
| Kikuyu | kik | FR | 50 | – | **7.0** | 8.3 | – | 21.3 |
| Kimbundu† | kmb | FR | 50 | – | 12.5 | – | – | – |
| Kinyarwanda | kin | FR | 50 | – | 3.2 | 6.3 | **1.8** | 10.4 |
| Kirundi | run | FR | 50 | – | **3.0** | 10.2 | – | – |
| Kpelle | xpe | OC | 1 | 21.1 | 34.1 | – | **26.0** | – |
| Lingala | lin | FL | 50 | 3.1 | 3.8 | – | **3.4** | 3.8 |
| Luganda | lug | FL | 50 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 8.8 | **5.2** | 6.6 |
| Macua | vmw | FR | 50 | – | 6.7 | **6.0** | – | – |
| Malagasy | mlg | OC | 50 | 3.6 | 8.8 | 9.6 | **5.2** | – |
| Mauritian Creole | mfe | FR | 50 | – | 7.1 | **5.9** | – | – |
| Meru | mer | OC | 21 | 9.2 | **14.1** | – | 25.6 | – |
| Mooré | mos | FR | 50 | – | 15.4 | **14.1** | – | – |
| Ndonga | ndo | OC | 13 | 14.0 | 5.9 | – | **5.6** | – |
| Sango | sag | FR | 50 | – | **10.0** | 10.6 | – | – |
| Sena | seh | FR | 50 | – | **6.2** | 7.3 | – | – |
| Sepedi | nso | FL | 50 | 5.9 | 4.9 | – | **4.1** | – |
| Shona | sna | FL | 50 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 6.1 | **1.9** | – |
| Swahili | swh | FL | 50 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.6 | **0.6** | 2.6 |
| Swati† | ssw | FR | 50 | – | 9.5 | – | – | – |
| Tigrinya | tir | FR | 50 | – | 11.9 | 17.6 | **7.7** | – |
| Twi | twi | FR | 50 | – | **12.1** | 13.1 | 12.5 | – |
| Umbundu | umb | FL | 50 | 9.5 | 16.6 | – | **14.8** | – |
| Wolof | wol | FL | 50 | 10.3 | 12.2 | – | **7.2** | – |
| Xhosa | xho | FL | 50 | 3.4 | 4.3 | – | **3.5** | 5.9 |
| Yoruba | yor | FL | 50 | 15.9 | 17.0 | 19.3 | **12.8** | 28.2 |
| Zulu | zul | FL | 50 | 3.7 | **2.2** | – | 2.4 | – |

Table 4: CER (%) per trained language. Src: OC Omnilingual ASR corpus, FL FLEURS, WX WAXAL, FR FLORES+ (text only, no real recordings). $n$: sentences. †: no Omnilingual ASR language code; transcribed without one. Best synthetic system in bold.