Large Cardinals in Set Theory: A Literature Survey

Introduction

Large cardinals are pivotal concepts in modern set theory, representing infinite cardinal numbers with extraordinary combinatorial and structural properties that extend beyond the standard Zermelo-Fraenkel axioms with Choice (ZFC). Their study illuminates the architecture of the set-theoretic universe, offering tools to gauge the consistency strength of various mathematical statements and to resolve foundational questions. These cardinals, ranging from inaccessible and measurable to supercompact and extendible, form a rich hierarchical framework that has profound implications in logic, topology, inner model theory, and descriptive set theory. This survey synthesizes key developments, themes, and results from a broad spectrum of research papers, highlighting the multifaceted roles and intricate interrelations of large cardinals in set theory.

Hierarchies and Characterizations of Large Cardinals

The large cardinal hierarchy begins with relatively “small” large cardinals such as inaccessible and measurable cardinals and extends to more potent notions like strongly compact, supercompact, and extendible cardinals. Kanamori (2005) provides a foundational historical and mathematical account, tracing these notions from Cantor’s early work to contemporary research frontiers. He emphasizes how large cardinals form a linear hierarchy of increasing consistency strength, serving as a measuring rod for set-theoretic propositions and underpinning results in inner model theory and determinacy.

Yamaguchi (1985) offers a comprehensive overview of key large cardinal types, including measurable, strongly compact, and extendible cardinals, focusing on their defining properties and hierarchical relationships. Similarly, Drake (1974) introduces large cardinals as strong axioms of infinity, discussing their role in extending ZFC and their foundational significance.

More recent work by Srivastava (2022) provides an accessible overview of the large cardinal landscape, clarifying connections between large cardinals and classical set-theoretic problems such as the Continuum Hypothesis, and emphasizing the philosophical and consistency considerations inherent in their study.

The classification and arithmetical characterization of large cardinals, particularly inaccessible cardinals, have been explored by Fodor and Máté (1973), who develop a canonical iterative process generalizing Mahlo operations to distinguish cardinals with properties like strong incompactness and nonmeasurability. This constructive approach advances the understanding of the fine structure within the large cardinal hierarchy.

Goldberg (2022) investigates the relationship between strong compactness and supercompactness under the Ultrapower Axiom (UA), demonstrating that the least strongly compact cardinal is supercompact in all known canonical inner models. Apter (1995) further explores the subtle distinctions between strongly compact and supercompact cardinals, constructing models where the first n strongly compact cardinals are somewhat supercompact but not fully so, revealing intricate “identity crises” within the hierarchy.

The notion of extendible cardinals has been extended through the development of Laver-generic large cardinal axioms by Fuchino (2025), who introduces super-$C^{(\infty)}$-Laver-generic axioms that yield various reflection and absoluteness principles. These axioms generalize classical large cardinal properties and provide new perspectives on extendibility and its consequences.

Recently, McCallum (2018, 2020) has introduced novel large cardinal axioms such as α-tremendous and hyper-enormous cardinals, which occupy new positions in the hierarchy with consistency strengths surpassing classical large cardinals like I1. These axioms are motivated by reflection principles and contribute to programs like Woodin’s Ultimate-L, offering new frameworks for inner model theory.

Interactions with Forcing, Reflection, and Structural Principles

Forcing techniques play a central role in the analysis and manipulation of large cardinals. Poveda (2021) presents significant advances in preserving extendible cardinals under class forcing iterations, generalizing results within the upper reaches of the large cardinal hierarchy. Golshani (2019) demonstrates how measurable cardinals can be transformed into smaller accessible cardinals via Prikry-type forcing, illustrating the flexibility of large cardinal properties under forcing extensions.

Apter (2021) investigates indestructibility phenomena, showing that the first two measurable cardinals can be simultaneously strongly compact and their large cardinal properties preserved under certain forcing notions. This contributes to understanding the robustness of large cardinal axioms.

Livadas (2018) examines non-extendible large cardinals and their interaction with forcing, clarifying the gradations of infinity and the consistency strengths of various forcing axioms. Lietz (2024) leverages large cardinals, particularly limits of supercompact cardinals, to establish new forcing axioms (Q-Maximum) and results on the density of the non-stationary ideal on (_1), connecting large cardinal assumptions with subtle forcing constructions.

Reflection principles, which motivate many large cardinal axioms, have been explored philosophically and technically by McCallum (2020), who distinguishes intrinsic justifications for large cardinals based on unfolding the concept of set. He analyzes how stronger reflection principles correspond to supercompact and extendible cardinals, providing a bifurcation in the philosophical landscape regarding the extent of intrinsic justification.

Aguilera, Bagaria, and Lucke (2024) introduce exacting and ultraexacting cardinals characterized via structural reflection principles, which challenge the traditional linear hierarchy and have implications for the HOD Conjecture and Woodin's program. These cardinals can refute certain conjectures about the nature of the universe of sets, highlighting the profound impact of reflection principles on large cardinal theory.

Usuba (2014) studies the approximation property and chain conditions in the context of reflection principles, elucidating how these combinatorial properties influence the behavior of large cardinal embeddings and their preservation under forcing.

Large Cardinals, Inner Models, and Determinacy

Large cardinals have deep connections with inner model theory and determinacy axioms, which link descriptive set theory and set-theoretic hierarchies. Müller, Schindler, and Woodin (2019), along with Müller (2018) and Zhu (2016), establish the equivalence between determinacy hypotheses for projective sets and the existence of canonical inner models ("mice") with finitely many Woodin cardinals. These results reveal that determinacy axioms correspond to the existence of large cardinals with precise structural properties.

Müller and Sargsyan (2020) analyze the structure of HOD in inner models with Woodin cardinals, showing that under determinacy assumptions, HOD can be characterized via iterates of mice with Woodin cardinals and satisfies the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis. This advances the understanding of definability and fine structure in models with large cardinals.

Schlutzenberg (2020, 2024) investigates rank-to-rank embeddings and their constructibility under ZF without the Axiom of Choice, focusing on the existence and uniqueness of such embeddings and their relationship with large cardinal properties like measurability. His work extends classical extender and ultrapower theory to choiceless contexts, providing a refined understanding of large cardinal embeddings.

Goldberg (2020) addresses Steel's conjecture on the Mitchell order of rank-to-rank embeddings, identifying large wellfounded suborders despite the general ill-foundedness, thereby deepening the structural insights into large cardinal embeddings.

Finkel (2017) connects large cardinals with automata theory, showing that certain automata-theoretic properties are independent of strong set theories augmented with large cardinal axioms. This reveals a novel interplay between logic, set theory, and theoretical computer science, illustrating the foundational reach of large cardinal hypotheses.

Applications and Broader Implications

Large cardinals have found applications beyond pure set theory, influencing topology, category theory, and foundational frameworks. Silva (2007) surveys the impact of large cardinal axioms on topological results, highlighting their role in consistency and independence proofs.

Comfort and Negrepontis (1970) characterize measurable cardinals via topological properties of ultrafilter spaces and Stone-Čech compactifications, providing a topological lens on large cardinal properties.

Boney and Lieberman (2019) establish equivalences between large cardinal properties (weakly compact to strongly compact) and closure properties in category theory, as well as tameness in abstract elementary classes. This bridges set theory with model theory and category theory, showcasing the versatility of large cardinal concepts.

Kusiński's works (2022, 2025) explore Kuratowski partitions of Baire spaces and their connections to measurable cardinals and precipitous ideals, situating large cardinals within measure-theoretic and topological frameworks.

In the realm of combinatorics and independence, Ryan-Smith (2024) constructs proper classes of maximal ()-independent families from large cardinal assumptions, demonstrating the combinatorial power imparted by strong axioms of infinity.

Apter, Dimitriou, and Koepke (2016) study the Gitik model, showing that all uncountable cardinals therein are almost Ramsey and carry Rowbottom filters, enriching the understanding of combinatorial large cardinal properties in specialized models.

Moore (2022) connects rank-to-rank embeddings and Laver tables with Galton-Watson processes, blending algebraic, combinatorial, and probabilistic methods to analyze large cardinal structures.

Conclusion

The study of large cardinals in set theory is a vibrant and multifaceted field that interweaves deep combinatorial, logical, and foundational themes. From foundational hierarchies and reflection principles to interactions with forcing, inner model theory, and determinacy, large cardinals serve as central pillars for understanding the infinite. Advances in generic large cardinal axioms, novel reflection principles, and choiceless frameworks continue to expand the landscape, while applications in topology, model theory, and combinatorics underscore their broad significance. This survey highlights the richness of large cardinal theory and its ongoing role in shaping the foundations of mathematics.

References

Apter, Arthur (2021). Indestructibility When The First Two Measurable Cardinals Are Strongly Compact. *Journal Of Symbolic Logic (Jsl)*.

Apter, Arthur (1995). On The First *N* Strongly Compact Cardinals.

Boney, Will and Lieberman, M. (2019). Tameness, Powerful Images, And Large Cardinals. *Journal Of Mathematical Logic*.

Comfort, W. and Negrepontis, S. (1970). Some Topological Properties Associated With Measurable Cardinals.

Drake, F. (1974). Set Theory : An Introduction To Large Cardinals.

Finkel, O. (2017). Incompleteness Theorems, Large Cardinals, And Automata Over Finite Words. *Theory And Applications Of Models Of Computation*.

Fodor, G. and Máté, A. (1973). On Arithmetical Classifications Of Inaccessable Cardinals And Their Applications.

Fuchino, Sakaé (2025). Extendible Cardinals, And Laver-Generic Large Cardinal Axioms For Extendibility.

Goldberg, G. (2022). Strong Compactness And The Ultrapower Axiom I: The Least Strongly Compact Cardinal. *Journal Of Mathematical Logic*.

Goldberg, G. (2020). Rank-To-Rank Embeddings And Steel'S Conjecture. *Journal Of Symbolic Logic (Jsl)*.

Golshani, M. (2019). Changing Measurable Into Small Accessible Cardinals.

Kanamori, A. (2005). The Higher Infinite : Large Cardinals In Set Theory From Their Beginnings.

Lietz, Andreas (2024). Forcing "$\Mathrm {Ns}_{\Omega _1}$IsΩ_1-Dense" From Large Cardinals. *Bulletin Of Symbolic Logic*.

Livadas, Stathis (2018). Extending The Non-Extendible: Shades Of Infinity In Large Cardinals And Forcing Theories. *Axiomathes*.

Livadas, Stathis (2018). Extending The Non-Extendible: Shades Of Infinity In Large Cardinals And Forcing Theories. *Axiomathes*.

McCallum, Rupert (2020). Intrinsic Justifications For Large-Cardinal Axioms.

Moore, Justin (2022). Rank-To-Rank Embeddings, Laver Tables, And Galton-Watson Processes. *Topology And Its Applications*.

Müller, Sandra (2018). Pure And Hybrid Mice With Finitely Many Woodin Cardinals From Levels Of Determinacy Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany, 2016. Supervised By Ralf-Dieter Schindler. *Bulletin Of Symbolic Logic*.

Müller, Sandra and Sargsyan, G. (2020). $\Operatorname {Hod}$ In Inner Models With Woodin Cardinals. *Journal Of Symbolic Logic (Jsl)*.

Poveda, Alejandro (2021). Contributions To The Theory Of Large Cardinals Through The Method Of Forcing. *Bulletin Of Symbolic Logic*.

Ryan-Smith, Calliope (2024). Proper Classes Of Maximal Θ-Independent Families From Large Cardinals.

Silva, S. (2007). Large Cardinals And Topology: A Short Retrospective And Some New Results. *Logic Journal Of The Igpl*.

Srivastava, Rohan (2022). The Landscape Of Large Cardinals.

Usuba, Toshimichi (2014). The Approximation Property And The Chain Condition (Reflection Principles And Set Theory Of Large Cardinals).

Yamaguchi, J. (1985). Aspects Of Large Cardinals (Set Theory, Logic, Measurable, Strongly Compact, Extendible).

Zhu, Yizheng (2016). Lightface Mice With Finitely Many Woodin Cardinals From Optimal Determinacy Hypotheses.