

# From Policy Gradient to Actor-Critic methods




## The Policy Search problem

Olivier Sigaud

Sorbonne Université  
<http://people.isir.upmc.fr/sigaud>




## Example: a (cheap) tennis ball collector



- ▶ A robot without a ball sensor
- ▶ Travels on a tennis court based on a parametrized controller
- ▶ Performance: number of balls collected in a given time
- ▶ Just depends on robot trajectories and ball positions


## Influence of policy parameters




- ▶ Controller parameters: proba of turn per time step, travelling speed
- ▶ How do the parameters influence the performance?
- ▶ Policy search: find the optimal policy parameters

## Two sources of stochasticity

The position of balls varies




The trajectories vary



- ▶ From the environment: position of the balls
- ▶ From the policy, if it is stochastic
- ▶ The performance can vary a lot → need to repeat
- ▶ Tuning parameters can be hard

## The policy search problem: formalization



- ▶ We want to optimize  $J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \pi_{\theta}} [R(\tau)]$ , the global utility function
- ▶ We tune policy parameters  $\theta$ , thus the goal is to find


$$\theta^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} J(\theta) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum_{\tau} P(\tau|\theta)R(\tau) \quad (1)$$

- ▶ where  $P(\tau|\theta)$  is the probability of trajectory  $\tau$  under policy  $\pi_{\theta}$



Deisenroth, M. P., Neumann, G., Peters, J., et al. (2013) A survey on policy search for robotics. *Foundations and Trends® in Robotics*, 2(1-2):1-142


## Direct Policy Search is black box optimization



- ▶  $J(\theta)$  is the performance over policy parameters
- ▶ Choose a  $\theta$
- ▶ Generate trajectories  $\tau_\theta$
- ▶ Get the return  $J(\theta)$  of these trajectories
- ▶ Look for a better  $\theta$ , repeat

- ▶ DPS uses  $(\theta, J(\theta))$  pairs and directly looks for  $\theta$  with the highest  $J(\theta)$

## (Truly) Random Search



- ▶ Select  $\theta_i$  randomly
- ▶ Evaluate  $J(\theta_i)$
- ▶ If  $J(\theta_i)$  is the best so far, keep  $\theta_i$
- ▶ Loop until  $J(\theta_i) > target$


- ▶ Of course, this is not efficient if the space of  $\theta$  is large
- ▶ General “blind” algorithm, no assumption on  $J(\theta)$
- ▶ We can do better if  $J(\theta)$  shows some local regularity



Sigaud, O. & Stulp, F. (2019) Policy search in continuous action domains: an overview. *Neural Networks*, 113:28-40

## Direct policy search


- **Locality assumption:** The function is locally smooth, good solutions are close to each other




Variation - selection

- **Variation - selection:** Perform well chosen variations, evaluate them
- Variations generally controlled using a multivariate Gaussian

## Gradient ascent



Variation - selection



Gradient ascent

- ▶ **Gradient ascent:** Following the gradient from analytical knowledge
- ▶ Issue: in general, the function  $J(\theta)$  is unknown
- ▶ **How can we apply gradient ascent without knowing the function?**
- ▶ The answer is the Policy Gradient Theorem
- ▶ Next lessons: Policy Gradient methods

Any question?



Send mail to: [Olivier.Sigaud@upmc.fr](mailto:Olivier.Sigaud@upmc.fr)



Marc Peter Deisenroth, Gerhard Neumann, Jan Peters, et al.

A survey on policy search for robotics.

*Foundations and Trends® in Robotics*, 2(1-2):1-142, 2013.



Olivier Sigaud and Freek Stulp.

Policy search in continuous action domains: an overview.

*Neural Networks*, 113:28-40, 2019.